

~~SECRET~~

DOCUMENT NO. 13
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☐
~~DECLASSIFIED~~
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C
NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____
AUTH: HR 70-2
18 APR 1980

4 June 1957

DATE: _____ REVIEWER: 018645

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Director

SUBJECT:

██████████
Concerning NIE's

Recommendations

25X1A5a1

25X1A9a

Attached is ██████████ memorandum of the ██████████

25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1 ██████████ discussion on the general subject of NIE's.

This has been discussed by the Board, and we have the following
comments:

25X1A5a1

1. ██████████ suggestion that estimates should
do more in the way of exploring alternative possibilities
is a good one. We have frequently done this in the past
and have tried something along this line in the redraft of
the Yugoslav paper sent to you on 28 May. We will continue
to look for occasions when this approach can usefully be
followed in future estimates.

2. It seems doubtful whether, as a general rule, we
should try to substitute a narrative summary of an esti-
mate for the present shorter form of conclusions. The
annual Soviet estimate, for example, would not lend itself
to such treatment. However, there are cases where such

~~SECRET~~

25X6A

Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP79R00904A000300040007-7

Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP79R00904A000300040007-7

5. We do not believe that dissents should be incorporated into the text of NIE's. We do believe that dissents should be clearly expressed to point up as sharply as possible critical differences of view. To this end we would like your authority to play more active part in helping to put into precise words any expressed disagreements of the contributing agencies.

6. We do not share [REDACTED] view that the Board is often handicapped by lack of knowledge of your position on specific estimative issues. We will of course continue to consult you as soon as possible when any such instances arise.

25X1A9a

7. We have in the past repeatedly stressed in our estimates the importance that US policies and actions would have on the course of events. We have, however, deliberately refrained from basing estimates on assumed new initiatives in US policy, except in cases where these assumptions were given to us or approved by the NSC Planning Board or some other authority. In our opinion, this is the proper procedure. We have been most reluctant to postulate US policy on our

own and then estimate probable consequences thereof.

We have felt that such a procedure, attractive as it might be, could easily open us to the charge of policy advocacy.

FOR THE BOARD OF NATIONAL ESTIMATES:

SHERMAN KENT
Assistant Director
National Estimates

attachment